



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,521	10/16/2003	Hyun-kwon Chung	1793.1077	4904
49455 7590 06/02/2008 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP 1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
EXAMINER				
PRCT, NATHAN E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2194				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/02/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/686,521	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHUNG ET AL.
		<b>Examiner</b> NATHAN PRICE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194

**All Participants:**

(1) Randall S. Svihla (Reg. No. 56,273).

(2) NATHAN PRICE.

**Date of Interview:** 27 May 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
All

**Claims discussed:**  
19-22

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
none

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that reciting a computer as part of the apparatus of claims 19 - 22 will overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, and 35 USC 101. Examiner indicated that an advisory action is being issued for Applicant's last response, that the amendments are not being entered and that previous rejections are being maintained..